GCQ FLAGSHIP FUND | GCQF.AX

MONTHLY PORTFOLIO & PERFORMANCE UPDATE MAY 2025



Returns	1 Month	3 Month				Since Inception (3 March 2025)
GCQ ETF (AUD) ¹	3.2%	-1.3%				-1.3%
MSCI World Index (AUD) ³	5.4%	-1.4%				-1.4%
Outperformance	-2.2%	0.1%				0.1%
Returns	1 Month	3 Months	6 Months	1 Year	2 Year (p.a.)	Since Inception (p.a.) (1 July 2022)
GCQ P Class (AUD) ²	3.2%	-0.7%	13.8%	28.7%	26.9%	30.7%
MSCI World Index (AUD) ³	5.4%	-1.4%	3.8%	17.5%	19.6%	20.1%
Outperformance	-2.2%	0.7%	10.1%	11.2%	7.3%	10.6%

^{1&2} Net performance figures are shown after all fees and expenses and assumes reinvestment of distributions. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future results. Figures over one year have been annualised. ³ See MSCI Disclaimer on Page 5.

"If you wait for problems to disappear before investing in stocks, you'll never commit – or earn – a penny."

- Ralph Wanger

The GCQ Global Equities Complex ETF ("GCQ ETF") portfolio's net return for the month of May 2025 was +3.2%. This compares with the MSCI World Index (AUD) return of +5.4% for the month.

This brings the net return since inception (3 March 2025) to **-1.3%**, while the MSCI World Index (AUD) has returned **-1.4%** over the same period.

At GCQ, our checklist-driven approach is fundamental to how we are navigating this turbulent period in markets. Our process has resulted in us owning a portfolio of companies that are largely insulated from first-order tariff impacts, with the result that our returns have shown less volatility than the broader market in recent months. Approximately 90% of the portfolio is invested in services companies, with most of the remainder invested in super-luxury goods companies with extreme pricing power.

On the following pages, we discuss our recent decision to trim the Fund's position in **Alphabet** (the parent company of Google). We also provide an update on our investment in **Uber**, a key contributor to the GCQ strategy's outperformance in the calendar year-to-date.

Portfolio Overview as at 31 May 2025	Portfolio Weight
♠ Hemnet	10%
rightmove 🗅	6%
Real estate advertising monopolies	16%
Uber	9%
	4%
Sharing economy	13%
amazon.com	13%
Global cloud computing	13%
Alphabet	8%
Meta	3%
Global online advertising	11%
RICHEMONT	7%
HERMĖS×	4%
Super-luxury goods	11%
VISA	6%
	4%
Global consumer payments	10%
Money Forward	8%
<- freee	1%
Cloud accounting software	9%
WD-40	2%
Branded consumer goods	2%
Other high-quality businesses	14%
Total long	99%
Shorts	(1%)
Net exposure	98%
Cash	2%
TOTAL	100%

Alphabet

We recently discussed our investment in **Alphabet** (the parent company of Google) in our portfolio update in <u>March 2025</u>.

We believe Google Search is one of the world's best businesses, and despite the market's concerns about emerging Artificial Intelligence (AI) alternatives like ChatGPT, Google is answering an ever-increasing number of queries. Meanwhile, the number of daily active users on Google's apps continues to grow.

To date, we have seen Google continue to grow alongside AI applications like ChatGPT – with Google's integrated solution, AI Overviews, driving incremental search queries. Counter to the popular narrative that Google is currently losing the AI race, Google's "AI Overviews" is currently the world's most popular Generative AI tool with 1.5 billion users, several times the size of ChatGPT.

Google also continues to benefit from meaningful distribution advantages, being the front door to the internet on nearly all phones and computers. Google has also released Al Mode in the U.S., which more deeply integrates artificial intelligence within search results.

However, there is no question that the nature of search is shifting more rapidly today than before the recent technological breakthroughs in artificial intelligence. While the market for search is growing, we have become less certain that Google will retain its near-monopoly position in this market, particularly as AI chatbots like ChatGPT take mindshare.

We believe Alphabet's current valuation reflects many of the market's concerns, with Alphabet's core business – Google Search, YouTube, and Google Network – trading at only ~11x forward earnings. If Google can maintain its dominance, we will look back at current prices and think the stock was incredibly cheap!

Following the release of several new features at Google's annual developer conference in May 2025, Alphabet's share price has staged a rebound from recent lows. We took advantage of the share price strength to trim our position from 12% in April to 8% for portfolio risk management purposes.

Alphabet Share Price (USD)



As we have discussed in previous portfolio updates, the GCQ Industry Quality Checklist™ includes a question designed to avoid investment in an industry facing technological disruption; something we describe as a "Kodak Moment." These moments are notoriously hard to pick. Before the dawn of the internet, it would have been almost impossible to predict the eventual decline in Yellow Pages directories, the go-to source of commercial information in the days of print advertising. However, disruption tends to occur slowly, and then all at once. Now, twenty years after most of us got our first home internet connection, our prior reliance on the Yellow Pages is nothing but a distant memory.

Google's dominance in search is a situation we are watching very closely. We will continue to be nimble in managing the position size, which is something that we believe highlights the importance of active management.

Attribution

Since inception (3 March 2025), the GCQ ETF has outperformed the MSCI World Index (AUD) by +0.1% (the GCQ Flagship ETF Fund is down -1.3% while the MSCI World Index (AUD) is down -1.4%).

Several high-quality businesses that we believe remain under-owned by the broader market have made positive contributions.

Below, we have highlighted our top five positive contributors for the period from inception.

Portfolio Company	Since March 2025		
rightmove □	+0.8%		
Money Forward	+0.7%		
NETFLIX	+0.7%		
Uber	+0.5%		
Fortnox	+0.4%		

Of these contributors, **Uber** is the most recent addition to the GCQ portfolio, but has already made a meaningful contribution to GCQ strategy returns, with its share price up c.+40% in the calendar year-to-date. Uber – the world's dominant ride-hailing network – continues to exhibit strong operational performance, in-line with our investment thesis. In the most recent quarter, the company delivered gross bookings growth of +18% year-on-year in constant currency terms, and adjusted EBITDA growth of +35% year-on-year.

When we first discussed Uber, we received some pushback from investors. We are always delighted to receive feedback, because it heightens our focus on the most important issues facing a particular company or industry.

In this case, we believed that the understandable negativity of some investors was rooted in Uber's colourful history, which we have distilled in a <u>short video</u> prepared to support a recent conference presentation. Uber listed during a period when competition between ride-hailing networks was far more aggressive, and it was unclear if the industry would ever generate meaningful profitability. In 2017, one local investor famously called Uber a "ponzi scheme". At the time, we held similar reservations; the level of competitive intensity was one of the primary reasons that – until quite recently – the ride-

hailing industry never passed the GCQ Industry Quality ChecklistTM.

Fast-forward to today, and Uber is the dominant ride-hailing network in ~90% of the markets in which it operates. Uber's ride-hailing network is protected by powerful network effects between riders and drivers in more than 10,000 cities around the world, and we believe this has created an enormous barrier to entry for any would-be competitors. Today, Uber is generating significant free cash flow, with almost no incremental capital required to grow. Put simply, Uber is earning a growing royalty on the labour and capital of suppliers to its network, with decades of secular growth ahead – given ride-sharing accounts for less than ~2% of ground transportation miles driven in the U.S.

Despite this, we believe some investors retain a negative predisposition towards Uber, possibly because it listed during the heyday of "profitless prosperity". This is a common psychological trait referred to as **anchoring bias**; when our views are shaped by an earlier reference point.

As we discussed on the prior page, industries are always changing. To overcome this bias, and with the aid of the **GCQ Industry Quality Checklist**TM, we try to regularly reassess the relevant facts objectively and with an open mind.

After watching Uber for many years, we initiated our position for the GCQ strategy in late-2024 – after the share price had declined c.-30% in a short period of time – and quickly scaled the position to a 9% portfolio weight. At the time, the market was concerned that Waymo (the autonomous vehicle company majority-owned by Alphabet) would become a credible threat to Uber's network.

We believed these concerns were overplayed. Instead, we saw Uber as likely to significantly benefit from partnerships with autonomous vehicle providers. In recent months, Waymo has partnered exclusively with Uber in Austin and Atlanta. More recently, Uber has signed a flurry of partnerships with autonomous vehicle providers in Asia, Europe, and the Middle East. Today, Uber has 18 partnerships with autonomous vehicle providers around the world, which reduces its dependence on any one provider.

While we continue to monitor the development of competing networks, like Waymo, and Tesla's stated ambitions to establish a competing ride-hailing service, we believe Uber's network effects are incredibly strong, and Uber's network will be difficult to bypass.



Uber currently trades at only ~23x forward earnings, while growing its revenues and earnings in the midteens and mid-30's in percentage terms, respectively. We continue to believe the stock has the potential to double over the next five years.



We discussed our Uber investment thesis in more detail in our portfolio update in February 2025.

Valuation Discipline

"There's no harm in hoping for the best as long as you're prepared for the worst."

- Stephen King

While we expect the market to remain volatile, we believe the tariff driven market sell-off was an excellent opportunity for long-term investors to buy world-class companies operating in high-quality industries (monopolies, duopolies, and oligopolies) at very attractive prices. Market corrections are never pleasant, but the earnings power of companies operating in high-quality industries continues to power on.

Downturns in the stock market are inevitable, and are extremely difficult – if not impossible – to predict. However, while we can't predict them ahead of time, we can prepare for them.

At GCQ, our formula for preparation is simple – we own a portfolio of companies operating in high quality industries, trading at attractive valuations, with little to no net debt.


Portfolio construction for the GCQ Flagship Fund begins with our checklists. The GCQ Industry Quality Checklist™ is critical to ensuring the quality of the portfolio is always high − i.e., attractive industry structures where the leading companies have pricing power. This, coupled with a disciplined approach to valuation that sees us trim or exit a portfolio position when its share price approaches 90% of our

assessment of fair value, ensures that we don't enter a potential downturn holding a portfolio of overvalued stocks.

Evidence of this valuation discipline can be seen in the earnings multiple of the GCQ portfolio. Despite the GCQ Flagship Fund Class P delivering investors returns of +28.7% over the past twelve months, the forward earnings multiple of the portfolio has remained in a narrow band, and has recently become even more attractive. This reflects strong earnings growth from portfolio companies, coupled with active management of the portfolio. In other words, we have tilted away from companies that have become more fully priced, while recycling capital into positions that have more meaningful valuation upside.

We believe the portfolio's current forward earnings multiple of ~23x compares favourably to the S&P 500's forward earnings multiple of ~22x, given the companies in our portfolio are substantially higher quality than the average index constituent, with higher growth, higher margins, higher returns on capital, and little to no net debt.

GCQ Portfolio Forward Earnings Multiple



"The intelligent investor is a realist who sells to optimists and buys from pessimists."

- Benjamin Graham

CONTACT

KATHY WU Chief Operating Officer contact@gcqfunds.com +61 (2) 7252 9124 GCQ Funds Management Pty Ltd Level 14, 167 Macquarie Street Sydney, NSW 2000 Australia gcqfunds.com

DISCLAIMER

Equity Trustees Limited ("Equity Trustees") (ABN 46 004 031 298), AFSL 240975, is the Responsible Entity for the GCQ Flagship Fund. Equity Trustees is a subsidiary of EQT Holdings Limited (ABN 22 607 797 615), a publicly listed company on the Australian Securities Exchange (ASX: EQT).

This Investor Report has been prepared by GCQ Funds Management Pty Ltd ACN 654 864 767 (Investment Manager) (AFS licence number 538513) to provide you with general information only. In preparing this Investor Report, we did not take into account the investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of any particular person. It is not intended to take the place of professional advice and you should not take action on specific issues in reliance on this information. Neither the Investment Manager, Equity Trustees nor any of its related parties, their employees or directors, provide any warranty of accuracy or reliability in relation to such information or accepts any liability to any person who relies on it. Past performance should not be taken as an indicator of future performance. You should obtain a copy of the Product Disclosure Statement and Target Market Determination before making a decision about whether to invest in this product.

GCQ Flagship Fund's Target Market Determination is available here (https://www.eqt.com.au/corporates-and-fund-managers/fund-managers/institutional-funds/institutional). A Target Market Determination is a document which is required to be made available from 5 October 2021. It describes who this financial product is likely to be appropriate for (i.e. the target market), and any conditions around how the product can be distributed to investors. It also describes the events or circumstances where the Target Market Determination for this financial product may need to be reviewed.

MSCI DISCLAIMER

Certain information contained herein (the "Information") is sourced from/copyright of MSCI Inc., MSCI ESG Research LLC, or their affiliates ("MSCI"), or information providers (together the "MSCI Parties") and may have been used to calculate scores, signals, or other indicators. The Information may not be reproduced or disseminated in whole or part without prior written permission. The Information may not be used for, nor does it constitute, an offer to buy or sell, or a promotion or recommendation of, any security, financial instrument or product, trading strategy, or index, nor should it be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance. Some funds may be based on or linked to MSCI indexes, and MSCI may be compensated based on the fund's assets under management or other measures. MSCI has established an information barrier between index research and certain Information. None of the Information in and of itself can be used to determine which securities to buy or sell or when to buy or sell them. The Information is provided "as is" and the user assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information. No MSCI Party warrants or guarantees the originality, accuracy and/or completeness of the Information and each expressly disclaims all express or implied warranties. No MSCI Party shall have any liability for any errors or omissions in connection with any Information herein, or any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of the possibility of such damages.

